

In the
Indiana Supreme Court

IN THE MATTER OF FAILURE TO)	
SATISFY COSTS IN LAWYER)	
DISCIPLINE CASE OF)	Case No. 94S00-0512-MS-687
)	
RONALD S. LIEBER, ET AL.)	

ORDER ON PETITION TO WAIVE OR REDUCE COSTS

On December 28, 2005, the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission filed with this Court a *Petition for Suspension of Certain Attorneys for Failure to Satisfy Costs Ordered in Connection with Lawyer Discipline Proceedings*. The respondent was one of the attorneys listed in the petition. On January 10, 2006, respondent filed his *Petition to Waive or Reduce Costs in Connection with Lawyer Disciplinary Proceedings*. On January 19, 2006, the Commission filed its *Response to Petition to Waive or Reduce Costs in Connection with Lawyer Disciplinary Proceedings*, taking no position on respondent's request for relief.

This Court, being duly advised, now finds that the Commission's petition for respondent's suspension and collection of the costs owed by respondent should be STAYED so long as respondent remains on inactive status. At such time as respondent seeks to regain active status the costs owed herein will be due and owing.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Commission's petition for respondent's suspension and collection of the costs owed by respondent are stayed until such time as respondent seeks to regain active status, at which time the costs owed herein shall be due and owing.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to forward notice of this order to the respondent by certified mail, return receipt requested, at his address as reflected in the Roll of Attorneys. The Clerk of this Court is further directed to issue notice of this order to the Disciplinary Commission.

DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this _____ day of February, 2006.

Randall T. Shepard
Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.